

## Simulator training and ADAS. Dr. Gunhild B. Sætren





**Dr. Gunhild B. Sætren<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Claire Taylor<sup>2</sup>, Jan Petter Wigum<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Alexander Eriksson<sup>3</sup>** Nord University<sup>1</sup>, Institute of Energy Technology<sup>2</sup>, Institute of Transport Economics <sup>3</sup>



### **ADAS**

#### NON- MONITORED DRIVING MONITORED DRIVING 00 00 Eyes on Eyes off 0 0 ማ TEMPORARY Hands on Hands off ҧ (m) HANDS OFF Driver does not have to **Driver** is Driver is not required **Driver has to** monitor the system at all during defined use continuously Vehicle role times; must always be in a monitor the exercising position to resume system at all times control **longitudinal** OR **Driver is continuously** lateral control exercising **longitudinal AND** lateral control System can System can cope cope with all with all situations situations Driver role automatically System has longitudinal performance limits and automatically in during the entire Lateral or longitudinal a defined use journey. No driver and lateral control in a control is accompised by case required. the system LEVEL 5









# Why train for automation





- Tasks increase with increasing automation
- Tasks change with increasing technology
- We do not know how people use ADAS
- Lack of standardisation
- How does different ADAS work in different driving conditions?











Proposal based on a five-level GDE5-SOC matrix (Keskinen, Peräaho & Laapotti, 2010)

5 Social environment e.g. culture, legislation, enforcement, subculture, social groups, group values and norms

4 Personal goals for life, skills for living e.g. lifestyle, motives, values, self-control, habits, health

**3 Goals and context of driving** e.g. trip related choices, goals, driving environment, company

**2 Mastery of traffic situations** e.g. rules, observation, driving path, interaction

1 Vehicle handling and manoeuvring e.g. gears, controls, direction, tyre grip, speed adjustment





# Will driver training still be needed?



### Analysis of driver roles





**Banks** & **Stanton** (2017). Analysis of driver roles: Modelling the changing role of the driver in automated driving systems

### Analysis of driver roles in automated driving systems





# Driver training and ADAS

- How to train for the new technology?
- Are there differences between novises and experienced drivers?





### ADAS – Advanced Driver-Assist System, driver training, and human factors

- Transition phase could increase risk
- Transition from operating to monitoring
- Tactical and strategic choices are made by others
- Lack of standardization
- Mode confusion
- Lack of situation awareness
- Trust in technology





(Sætren et al., 2018b)



# Where is the research on driving simulators?

### 2009-2019

- Health: Driving skills
  - Different illnesses
  - Under the influence of drugs
  - Sleep deprivation
  - Different motoric challenges
- As an experimental tool
  - How speed, risk perseption, human error and so forth affect driving skills.
- Training
  - Eco-driving (and ours on dark driving not published yet)
- Transferability to In Real Life (IRL)







## Why simulator training

### Environmentally friendly

- Avoid queueing
- Wear and tear on tyres
- No fuel
- Less costs than car
- Wear and tear on brakes and car in general as well as road structures

### Calmer learning environment

- Students and learner drivers are less stressful while learning – especially important in early learning phases independent on what level learning is (all levels in GDE matrix)
- Instructor can focus on the student/learner driver in stead of paying attention to real life traffic

#### Safety

- Student/learner driver get to train on the task she/he is supposed to
- Possibility for repetition
- Access to scenarios (dark, snow, wind, rain, queue, moose)
- Possibility to make errors in a safe environment
- The trainer do not need to find sufficient dangerous situations in real life traffic.





## Why simulators

- Forgiving environment
- Variation possibilities
- Easy access to different scenarios
- Environmentally friendly
- Our latest research (to be presented at ESREL2019)
  - Use it with an instructor present
  - Use it for all levels of the GDE matrix
  - Simulator Training in Driver education (SitT)
    - 4 year study (Nord University and NTNU Social Research)
    - Financed by the Norwegian Research Council







## References

- Eriksson, A. (2018). Driver reactions to automated vehicles. CRC Press
- Sætren, G.B., Birkeland, T.F., Pedersen, P.A., Lindheim, C., & Rasmussen, M. (2019). Opportunities and limitations in use of simulators in driver training in Norway. A qualitative study. Accepted for *Proceedings of the 29th European Safety and Reliability Conference September 22-29 Hannover Germany*. Edited by Michael Beer and Enrico Zio. ISBN: 981-973-0000-00-0 :: doi: 10.3850/981-973-0000-00-0
- Sætren, G. B., Pedersen, P. A., Robertsen, R., Haukeberg, P. J., Rasmussen, M., & Lindheim. (2018a). Simulator training in driver education - potential gains and challenges. I: Safety and Reliability – Safe Societies in a Changing World. Proceedings of ESREL 2018, June 17-21, 2018, Trondheim, Norway. Taylor & Francis 2018 ISBN 9781351174657. s. 2045-2051
- Sætren, G.B., Wigum, J.P., Robertsen, R., Bogfjellmo, P.H., & Suzen, E. (2018b). The future of driver training and driver instructor education in Norway with increasing ADAS technology in cars. I: Safety and Reliability Safe Societies in a Changing World. Proceedings of ESREL 2018, June 17-21, 2018, Trondheim, Norway. Taylor & Francis 2018 ISBN 9781351174657. s. 1433-1441
- **Taylor**, C., Massaiu, S., Hildebrandt, M., & Bye, A. (2013). *Workshop meeting on using simulator data to improve human reliability analysis*. Report number: HRW 1078 Halden Reactor Project, Norway
- Banks, V. & Stanton, N.A. (2017). Analysis of driver roles: Modelling the changing role of the driver in automated driving systems. *Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics*, 20, 284-300

